

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA		Y(CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0270018 DAT	ГЕ: <u>1-26-12</u>	ARRIVE: 1:00PM	1	DEPART: <u>1:45P</u>	<u>M</u>
FACILITY NAME: TRE	EMRON INC-ARCADIA PL	ANT			
FACILITY LOCATION	: 3144 HWY 17 NE				
	ARCADIA 34266				
OWNER/AUTHORIZEI Email: CONTACT NAME: Ke Email: Kbarnes@tren ENTITLEMENT PERIO	nron.com	3	Mobile:	(863)491-0990 (904)359-5900	
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
	resentative(s): Bobby Vansic	c <u>kle</u>			ck 🗹 only one r each question)
2. Is the Authorized Repro	esentative still JOHN GALL	AGHER?		🖂 Y	es □No
	lity provide an administrative ill MIKE SOMERS?				es □No Yes ⊠No
4. Will facility be conduct	ting VE test(s) during today's				Yes ⊠No Yes □No

Emissions Unit Section 1 –Silo#1 (gray cement), Line#1, baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ✓ box for each	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 4-7-09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncor emissions by:	ıfined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of t 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	X Yes	☐ No
control emissions?		☐ No
removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 2 –Silo#2 (white cement), Line#1, baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

2 –5110#2 (write cement), Line#1, bagnouse st	bject to Reasonable I recautions
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
 Date of last inspection: 4-7-09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection of the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 2 c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable emissions by:	e precautions to control unconfined
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressan control emissions?	and yards? Yes No chemicals when necessary to
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved are owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work are particulate matter?	as under control of the as to reduce airborne Yes No o mitigate wind entrainment of
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions a	
If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opac. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Emissions Unit Section 3 –Silo#3 (gray cement), Line#2, baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

5 –5110#5 (gray cement), Line#2, bagnouse subject to Reasonable Frecaution	<u> </u>	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ✓ box for each	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 4-7-09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each of	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconf emissions by: 	ined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 4 –Silo #4 (white cement), Line#2, baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
	Date of last inspection: 4-7-09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check ☑	only one
	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Storage and Storage Piles, and Yards	box for each	•
	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfigurations by:	ined	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	X Yes	□ No
	 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? 	<u> </u>	□ No□ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛚 Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 5 –Silo #5 (slag), Line#1, baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

5 – Silo #5 (slag), Line#1, baghouse subject to Reasonable Preci	autions
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 4-7-09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check ☑ only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Ya</u>	box for each question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control emissions by:	ol unconfined
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or m 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when nece control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	nment of
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the	truck? X Yes No
If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes No

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Emissions Unit Section 6 –Silo #6 (slag/flyash), Line #2, baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

6 –5110 #6 (stag/nyasn), Line #2, bagnouse subject to Reasonable Precautio	115	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 4-7-09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by: 	ined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 7 –CCB Plant-enclosed weigh hopper&batcher, centr dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check \square only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 4-7-09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
 Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to coemissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one of paying and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	ontrol unconfined or more of the following: \(\sum \) Yes \(\sum \) No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when recontrol emissions?	Yes No the ne Yes No trainment of
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to	the truck? Yes No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 box for each o	
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	X Yes s bricks to add sed unit to mit	tigate the
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	- ⊠ Yes - ⊠ Yes - ⊠ Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo
$\frac{0 \text{ gal diesel/yr} + 0 \text{ gal gasoline/yr} + 0 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr} + 0 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}}{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}} \leq 1.00?$ $275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr} 23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr} 44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr} 1.3 \text{ MM gal propan}$	ıe/yr	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	iption - X Yes	☐ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each o	•
Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- X Yes	☐ No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
permit and Department rules?	X Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑ only one	
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing p		
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cemes soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c b	Yes No elow.)	
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Departs e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one businb. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation	ess day prior to changing location? Yes No	
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than firc. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation	ve business days following a relocation? Yes Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at I 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a s		
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissi a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-rou If YES, what was the purpose?	ons unit in that separate permit: tine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes No	
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how co-located at the permitted facility?		
CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nurassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical reoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar mir If YES, did the facility provide written notification within New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership 	elocation of the facility or any emissions units or or administrative change at the facility? Yes No 30 days of the change? Yes No	
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without repla c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment tha d. A change in ownership?		
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new r 30 days prior to the change?		
Chris Haines	1-26-12	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Clin Hotel	1-26-15	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: I (Chris Haines) arrived at the facility at approximately 1:00PM in order to perform a routine inspection on the facility. Upon arrival I met with Mr. Bobby VanSickle, the assistant plant manager who informed me that Mr. John Gallagher was out of state and the plant manager, Mr. Ken Barnes, was at a different facility that day. I asked if he would mind accompaning me on my inspection in lieu of the plant managers. He agreed and showed me the silos, mixers, piles, and the tumbler. When I asked if this was a permitted Emission Unit, he told me he wasn't sure, but that he didn't believe it was. I told him that I will have to check with my manager to see if it needs to be permitted or not. I left a note for Mr. Barnes asking about the cement throughput and any fuel consumption records that may apply to any process equipment.

On January 27, 2012, I spoke with Ms. Cindy Zhang -Torres and we determined that the tumbler should be permitted. I wrote an email to Mr. Dick Dibble and Mr. Barnes for coordination between the two. Mr. Dibble will take appropriate action for the tumbler.